# Vector Models in $\mathcal{PT}$ Quantum Mechanics

Katherine Jones-Smith · Rudolph Kalveks

Received: 30 May 2012 / Accepted: 14 January 2013 / Published online: 25 January 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

**Abstract** We present two examples of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which consist of an unperturbed part plus a perturbation that behaves like a vector, in the framework of  $\mathcal{PT}$  quantum mechanics. The first example is a generalization of the recent work by Bender and Kalveks, wherein the E2 algebra was examined; here we consider the E3 algebra representing a particle on a sphere, and identify the critical value of coupling constant which marks the transition from real to imaginary eigenvalues. Next we analyze a model with SO(3) symmetry, and in the process extend the application of the Wigner-Eckart theorem to a non-Hermitian setting.

Keywords Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics · PT quantum mechanics · Wigner-Eckhart theorem

# 1 Introduction

There are many situations in quantum mechanics wherein the Hamiltonian under consideration can be written as

$$H = H_0 + H_1 \tag{1}$$

where  $H_0$  is the unperturbed part and commutes with the generators  $T_i$  of symmetry group G:

$$[H_0, T_i] = 0 (2)$$

K. Jones-Smith (🖂)

```
R. Kalveks
Theoretical Physics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK
```

Physics Department, Washington University in Saint Louis, 1 Brookings Drive, Saint Louis, MO 63130, USA e-mail: kas59@physics.wustl.edu

and  $H_1$  can be treated like a perturbation and behaves like a vector under *G*. We wish to generalize this situation in the context of  $\mathcal{PT}$  quantum mechanics [1, 2], where the assumption that operators such as the Hamiltonian are Hermitian is relaxed, and replaced by other requirements, notably that the Hamiltonian commutes with the parity ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) and time-reversal ( $\mathcal{T}$ ) operators.

Interest in non-Hermitian quantum mechanics continues to grow [3], and recently a number of experiments have observed the so-called  $\mathcal{PT}$  phase transition, where the eigenvalues of a  $\mathcal{PT}$  Hamiltonian make a transition from being complex to real once a critical value of a coupling constant is reached [4–6]. Thus it is relevant to seek new  $\mathcal{PT}$ -counterparts to conventional Hamiltonians.

In this work we present two simple cases that can be described as non-Hermitian vector perturbation models where the Hamiltonian can be written as in Eq. (1); first we consider a particle confined to the surface of a sphere, where the Hamiltonian acts within an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and next we consider a generic vector perturbation within a finite dimensional Hilbert space and determine the spectrum of eigenvalues using the Wigner-Eckart theorem. We find that for a range of parameters each of these models has a pure real spectrum. At critical values of the coupling the model undergoes  $\mathcal{PT}$  transitions wherein the eigenvalues become complex.

### 2 E3 Algebra: Particle on a Sphere

We begin by generalizing the analysis presented in [7]. They considered the E2 algebra which consists of elements J, u, v such that

$$[J, u] = -iv, \qquad [J, v] = iu, \quad [u, v] = 0.$$
(3)

The Hamiltonian

$$h = J^2 + igu, \tag{4}$$

where  $J = -i\partial/\partial\theta$ ,  $u = \sin\theta$ ,  $v = \cos\theta$  and g is a constant, represents a 2-dimensional quantum particle restricted to radius r = 1.

A generalization of this is the E3 algebra and restricting the particle to the surface of a sphere (r = 1). This is described by the Hamiltonian

$$h = L^2 + igu_z,\tag{5}$$

where L obeys

$$[L_i, L_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}L_k \tag{6}$$

*u* is a vector operator whose components are given by

$$u_x = \sin\theta\cos\phi,\tag{7}$$

$$u_{\rm v} = \sin\theta\sin\phi,\tag{8}$$

$$u_{z} = \cos\theta \tag{9}$$

and g is a constant. The remaining commutators are straightforward to calculate;

$$[L_i, u_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}u_k, \qquad [u_i, u_j] = 0.$$
(10)

Following Bender and Kalveks we consider the case of even time reversal: for a wave function  $\psi(\theta, \phi)$  the time reversal operator  $\mathcal{T}$  is manifested as complex conjugation:

$$T\psi(\theta,\phi) = \psi^*(\theta,\phi) \tag{11}$$

hence  $\mathcal{T}^2 = 1$ . It is easy to verify the action of  $\mathcal{T}$  on the elements of the algebra:  $\mathcal{T}L_i\mathcal{T} = -L_i$  and  $\mathcal{T}u_i\mathcal{T} = u_i$ . The parity operator  $\mathcal{P}$  takes  $\psi$  into the antipodal point:

$$P\psi(\theta,\phi) = \psi(\pi - \theta,\phi + \pi) \tag{12}$$

so  $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$ ; elements transform under parity as  $\mathcal{P}L_i\mathcal{P} = L_i$  and  $\mathcal{P}u_i\mathcal{P} = -u_i$ . Note that the Hamiltonian *h* in Eq. (5) commutes with the combined operation  $\mathcal{PT}$  but not with  $\mathcal{P}$  or  $\mathcal{T}$  individually. Now let us determine the eigenvalue spectrum of this Hamiltonian. We wish to solve

$$h\psi(\theta,\phi) = \lambda\psi(\theta,\phi) \tag{13}$$

and we try the general solution:

$$\psi(\theta,\phi) = f(\theta)e^{im\phi}.$$
(14)

For convenience we define  $\eta = \cos\theta$ ; this simplifies the eigenvalue equation for f:

$$-\left(1-\eta^2\right)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} + 2\eta\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + \frac{m^2}{1-\eta^2}f + ig\eta f = \lambda f,\tag{15}$$

where *m* is a fixed integer. If we let

$$h_0 = -\left(1 - \eta^2\right)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} + 2\eta\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + \frac{m^2}{1 - \eta^2}f\tag{16}$$

then the Hamiltonian we wish to solve is

$$h_0 f + ig\eta f = \lambda f. \tag{17}$$

We impose the boundary condition that the solution must be regular at  $\eta = \pm 1$ .

Let us choose basis elements

$$|l\rangle \to N_l P_{l,|m|}(\eta), \tag{18}$$

where  $l = |m|, |m| + 1, ..., P_{l,|m|}$  are the associated Legendre polynomials, with conventional normalization factor

$$N_l = \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(l-|m|)!}{(l+|m|)!}}.$$
(19)

The  $P_{l,m}$ 's satisfy

$$h_0 P_{l,|m|}(\eta) = l(l+1) P_{l,|m|}(\eta) \tag{20}$$

so the matrix of  $h_0$  in this basis is diagonal. The matrix elements of the potential term,  $ig\eta$ , can easily be determined from the normalization and recursion relations of the  $P_{l,m}$ 's. By diagonalizing the truncated Hamiltonian matrix we can numerically obtain the eigenvalues of Eq. (17); see Fig. 1.



**Fig. 1** Real and imaginary components of eigenvalues *E* for the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (17). The first six eigenvalues for m = 0 (*blue*) and m = 1 (*green*) are shown. Intercepts on the *E* axis are given by  $\ell(\ell + 1)$  for  $\ell = 0$  to 6. For the case of m = 0, we find that the spectrum is entirely real for  $0 \le g < 1.899$  at which point there is a transition to one pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues in the spectrum. At g = 11.45 there is a second transition, to two pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues. Similarly for the case of m = 1, we find one complex conjugate eigenvalues at g = 5.41, and two pairs at g = 19.04. In these computations the Hamiltonian is truncated to a  $100 \times 100$  matrix; we have verified that the relevant part of the spectrum is insensitive to the truncation

#### 3 PT Vector Model in Finite-Dimensional Hilbert Space

*E*3 may also be regarded as a realization of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  vector model with symmetry group SO(3) and for which the Hilbert space is infinite dimensional. Now we wish to turn out attention to realizations of the  $\mathcal{PT}$  vector model with finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Let us write a simple, generic Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + H_I$  where

$$H_0 = L_x^2 + L_y^2 + L_z^2, (21)$$

$$H_I = V_z \tag{22}$$

and  $V_z$  is the z component of a vector operator.

Our task is to obtain a matrix representation of the total Hamiltonian, solve for its eigenvalues and determine what value of the non-Hermitian perturbation cause the eigenvalues to become complex.

Naturally we choose to work with the angular momentum eigenstates  $|\ell, m\rangle$ ; the action of  $H_0$  on these states is well known, and we can utilize the Wigner-Eckart theorem to determine the action of  $H_I = V_z$ .

Note that the dimensionality of the relevant vector space depends on the angular momenta of the multiplets but clearly it is finite. Suppose we consider the two multiplets  $|\ell, m\rangle$  and  $|\ell+1, m\rangle$ ; *m* takes on values from  $-\ell$  to  $+\ell$  in the first multiplet and from  $-\ell - 1$  to  $\ell + 1$  in the second multiplet, so there are  $(2\ell + 1) + (2\ell + 3) = 4\ell + 4$  of these states.

The action of  $H_0$  on these states is simply

$$L^{2}|\ell,m\rangle = \ell(\ell+1)|\ell,m\rangle, \qquad (23)$$

$$L^{2}|\ell+1,m\rangle = (\ell+1)(\ell+2)|\ell+1,m\rangle.$$
(24)

So all that remains is to determine how  $V_z$  acts on these states; here we employ the Wigner-Eckart theorem, which we have extended to the non-Hermitian case as detailed in Appendix. We find  $\langle \ell', m' | V_z | \ell, m \rangle = 0$  unless m = m'. Thus we need only to determine

$$\langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle, \langle \ell + 1, m | V_z | \ell + 1, m \rangle, \langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell + 1, m \rangle, \text{ and} \langle \ell + 1, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle$$

in order to completely specify  $V_z$  in this space. The first two in this list can be expressed in terms of the reduced matrix element  $\alpha$  defined in Appendix; in general we find

$$\langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle = m \alpha_1,$$
  
 $\langle \ell + 1, m | V_z | \ell + 1, m \rangle = m \alpha_2;$ 

however we also wish to enforce  $\mathcal{P}V_z\mathcal{P} = -V_z$  and  $\mathcal{T}V_z\mathcal{T} = -V_z$ , which restricts  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ . (Determination of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  within this space follows straightforwardly from their action on the spherical harmonics  $PY_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^{\ell}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$  and  $TY_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_{\ell,-m}(\theta, \phi)$ .)

For the other two types of matrix elements,  $\langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell + 1, m \rangle$  and  $\langle \ell + 1, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle$ , we find these are proportional to other reduced matrix elements  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ;

$$\langle \ell + 1, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle = f_{\ell m} \beta,$$
  
 $\langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell + 1, m \rangle = f_{\ell m} \gamma,$ 

where

$$f_{lm} = \left[\frac{(\ell+1)^2 - m^2}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+2)}\right]^{1/2}.$$
(25)

Note that  $f_{\ell m}$  is even in *m*. When we enforce  $PV_zP = -V_z$  and  $TV_zT = -V_z$ , we find this requires  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  to be pure imaginary, so we define  $\beta = ib$ ,  $\gamma = ic$  for some real numbers

🖄 Springer

b, c. Note that in determining these matrix elements we do not assume V is Hermitian; we rely only on the commutators of V with the angular momentum operators. (See Appendix for details.)

Now let us write down the matrix corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + H_I$ . Consider the two-dimensional subspace spanned by the states  $|\ell, m\rangle$  and  $|\ell + 1, m\rangle$  for a fixed value of *m* that lies in the range  $-\ell, \ldots, \ell$ . Within this subspace

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \ell(\ell+1) & 0\\ 0 & (\ell+1)(\ell+2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(26)

and

$$V_z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -icf_{\ell m} \\ -ibf_{\ell m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (27)

In addition consider the two dimensional subspace spanned by the states  $|\ell + 1, \ell + 1\rangle$  and  $|\ell + 1, -\ell - 1\rangle$ . These states are not coupled by the perturbation  $V_z$  to any other state and hence  $V_z = 0$  within this subspace. On the other hand the unperturbed Hamiltonian in this subspace is given by

$$H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} (\ell+1)(\ell+2) & 0\\ 0 & (\ell+1)(\ell+2) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (28)

It is convenient to define

$$h_{\ell+1} = \begin{pmatrix} (\ell+1)(\ell+2) & 0\\ 0 & (\ell+1)(\ell+2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(29)

and

$$h_m = \begin{pmatrix} \ell(\ell+1) & -icf_{lm} \\ -ibf_{lm} & (\ell+1)(\ell+2) \end{pmatrix},$$
(30)

where  $m = -\ell, \ldots, \ell$ . The Hamiltonian can now be written as a block-diagonal matrix

The individual  $2 \times 2$  matrices that constitute the Hamiltonian are simple enough that we can obtain analytic expressions for the eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of  $h_{\ell+1}$  are two-fold degenerate and are simply  $(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)$ . The eigenvalues of  $h_m$  are

$$\lambda_{\ell m}^{\pm} = (\ell+1)^2 \pm \sqrt{(\ell+1)^2 - bcf_{\ell m}^2}.$$
(32)

🖄 Springer

h



Note that  $\lambda_{\ell,m} = \lambda_{\ell,-m}$  so for all  $m \neq 0$  the eigenvalues of  $h_m$  are also two-fold degenerate. Clearly, the eigenvalues are real provided

$$bc < \frac{(\ell+1)^2}{f_{lm}^2}.$$
 (33)

We can make the following observations about the behavior of the eigenvalues. Once  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry is broken,  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$  form a complex conjugate pair. Since  $f_{\ell m}$  has its maximum value for m = 0,  $\lambda^{\pm}$  becomes complex for m = 0 first. Similarly,  $f_{\ell m}$  is minimal for  $|m| = \ell$ , so  $\lambda^{\pm}$  so these are the last eigenvalues to go complex. For example we consider the case  $\ell = 1$  and choose b = c for simplicity. We plot the eigenvalues in Fig. 2.

It is worth noting that in the Hermitian case b = -c. Hence the condition in Eq. (33) that ensures the eigenvalues are real is always met.

## 4 Conclusion

We conclude by noting two natural generalizations of our results that deserve further investigation. First the model of a particle on an ordinary 2-sphere considered in section II may be generalized to a particle on a sphere in *n* dimensions. The  $\mathcal{PT}$  transition for this model may be amenable to analytic study in the large *n* limit and may shed some light on  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric non-linear sigma models of which it would represent a 0 + 1 dimensional case [8]. Second the vector model constructed in Sect. 3 may be easily generalized from the symmetry group SO(3) to any Lie group and therefore represents only one member of a large class of such models.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Harsh Mathur and Carl Bender for useful conversations.

#### Appendix: Wigner-Eckart Theorem

Suppose we have an angular momentum operator L and a vector operator V satisfying the commutation relations

$$[L_i, V_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}V_k. \tag{34}$$

Let  $|\ell, m\rangle$  denote an angular momentum multiplet of total angular momentum  $\ell$  and *z*-component *m*. Then according to the Wigner-Eckart theorem the matrix elements of  $V_z$  and  $V_{\pm} = V_x \pm i V_y$  between multiplet states are determined by the commutation relations Eq. (34). In the usual Wigner-Eckart theorem the Cartesian components of the operator V are assumed to be hermitian. Here we present a non-Hermitian generalization of the theorem.

Following the usual arguments we find the selection rules

$$\langle \ell', m' | V_z | \ell, m \rangle = 0$$
 unless  $m' = m$ , (35)

$$\langle \ell', m' | V_+ | \ell, m \rangle = 0$$
 unless  $m' = m + 1$ , (36)

$$\langle \ell', m' | V_{-} | \ell, m \rangle = 0$$
 unless  $m' = m - 1.$  (37)

Furthermore the matrix elements vanish unless  $\ell' = \ell - 1$  or  $\ell' = \ell$  or  $\ell' = \ell + 1$ .

Consider the case  $\ell' = \ell$ . Generalization of the usual arguments shows that

$$\langle \ell, m+1 | V_+ | \ell, m \rangle = A(\ell-m)^{1/2} (\ell+m+1)^{1/2} \quad m = -\ell, \dots, \ell-1, \langle \ell, m | V_z | \ell, m \rangle = Am \quad m = -\ell, \dots, \ell, \langle \ell, m-1 | V_- | \ell, m \rangle = A(\ell-m+1)^{1/2} (\ell+m)^{1/2} \quad m = -\ell+1, \dots, \ell,$$
(38)

where the proportionality constant A is a complex number called the "reduced matrix element". Note that for **V** hermitian, A would have to be real, but there is no such restriction in the non-hermitian case.

Similarly in the case  $\ell' = \ell + 1$  we find

$$\langle \ell + 1, m + 1 | V_{+} | \ell, m \rangle = B \left[ \frac{(\ell + m + 2)(\ell + m + 1)}{(2\ell + 2)(2\ell + 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\langle \ell + 1, m | V_{z} | \ell, m \rangle = -B \left[ \frac{(\ell - m + 1)(\ell + m + 1)}{(2\ell + 2)(2\ell + 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\langle \ell + 1, m - 1 | V_{-} | \ell, m \rangle = -B \left[ \frac{(\ell - m + 1)(\ell - m + 2)}{(2\ell + 2)(2\ell + 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$(39)$$

where  $m = -\ell, \ldots, \ell$  and B is another complex reduced matrix element.

Finally in the case that  $\ell' = \ell - 1$  we find

$$\langle \ell - 1, m + 1 | V_{+} | \ell, m \rangle = -C \left[ \frac{(\ell - m - 1)(\ell - m)}{(2\ell)(2\ell - 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\langle \ell - 1, m | V_{z} | \ell, m \rangle = -C \left[ \frac{(\ell - m)(\ell + m)}{(2\ell)(2\ell - 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\langle \ell - 1, m - 1 | V_{-} | \ell, m \rangle = C \left[ \frac{(\ell + m)(\ell + m - 1)}{(2\ell)(2\ell - 1)} \right]^{1/2},$$

$$(40)$$

where *C* is a complex reduced matrix element and  $m = -\ell, ..., \ell - 2$  in the first line of Eq. (40),  $m = -\ell + 1, ..., \ell - 1$  in the second line of Eq. (40), and  $m = -\ell + 2, ..., \ell$  in the last line of Eq. (40).

In the hermitian case the reduced matrix elements satisfy  $B = C^*$  but in the non-hermitian case there is no such restriction on the complex elements B and C.

#### References

- Bender, C.M., Boettcher, S.: Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT symmetry. Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5243–5246 (1998)
- Bender, C.M., Boettcher, S., Meisinger, P.: PT symmetric quantum mechanics. J. Math. Phys. 40, 2201– 2229 (1999)
- 3. Bender, C.M.: Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians. Rep. Prog. Phys. 70, 947 (2007)
- Guo, A., Salamo, G.J., Duchesne, D., Morandotti, R., Volatier-Ravat, M., Aimez, V., Siviloglou, G.A., Christodoulides, D.N.: Observation of *PT*-symmetry breaking in complex optical potentials. Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 093902 (2009)
- Ruter, C.E., Makris, K.G., El-Ganainy, R., Christodoulides, D.N., Segev, M., Kip, D.: Observation of parity-time symmetry in optics. Nat. Phys. 6, 1515 (2010)
- Zhao, K.F., Schaden, M., Wu, Z.: Enhanced magnetic resonance signal of spin-polarized Rb atoms near surfaces of coated cells. Phys. Rev. A 81, 042903 (2010)
- Bender, C., Kalveks, R.: *PT* symmetry from Heisenberg algebra to E2 algebra. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 50, 955–962 (2011)
- 8. Coleman, S., Jackiw, R., Politzer, H.D.: Phys. Rev. D 10, 2491 (1974)